arXiv:0802.4352v1 [math.AP] 29 Feb 2008

# Klein-Gordon-Maxwell System in a bounded domain *

Pietro d'Avenia
Dipartimento di Matematica
Politecnico di Bari
pdavenia@poliba.it

Lorenzo Pisani, Gaetano Siciliano
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Bari
pisani@dm.uniba.it, siciliano@dm.uniba.it

February 29, 2008

## Abstract

This paper is concerned with the Klein-Gordon-Maxwell system in a bounded spatial domain. We discuss the existence of standing waves $\psi = u(x)e^{-i\omega t}$ in equilibrium with a purely electrostatic field $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi(x)$. We assume an homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on $u$ and an inhomogeneous Neumann boundary condition on $\phi$. In the "linear" case we characterize the existence of nontrivial solutions for small boundary data. With a suitable nonlinear perturbation in the matter equation, we get the existence of infinitely many solutions.

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2000:** 35J50, 35J55, 35Q60

## 1 Introduction

Many recent papers show the application of global variational methods to the study of the interaction between matter and electromagnetic fields. A typical example is given by the Klein-Gordon-Maxwell (KGM for short) system.

We consider a matter field $\psi$, whose free Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\left(|\partial_t\psi|^2 - |\nabla\psi|^2 - m^2|\psi|^2\right), \tag{1}$$

with $m > 0$. The field is charged and in equilibrium with its own electromagnetic field $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$, represented by means of the gauge potentials $(\mathbf{A}, \phi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} &= -\left(\nabla\phi + \partial_t\mathbf{A}\right), \\ \mathbf{B} &= \nabla\times\mathbf{A}. \end{aligned}$$

---

[*] The authors are supported by M.I.U.R. - P.R.I.N. "Metodi variazionali e topologici nello studio di fenomeni non lineari".

Abelian gauge theories provide a model for the interaction; formally we replace the ordinary derivatives $(\partial_t, \nabla)$ in (1) with the so-called gauge covariant derivatives

$$(\partial_t + iq\phi, \nabla - iq\mathbf{A}),$$

where $q$ is a nonzero coupling constant (see *e.g.* [1]). Moreover, we add the Lagrangian density associated with the electromagnetic field

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2\right).$$

The KGM system is given by the Euler-Lagrange equations corresponding to the total Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0(\psi, \mathbf{A}, \phi) + \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{A}, \phi).$$

The study of the KGM system is carried out for special classes of solutions (and for suitable classes of lower order nonlinear perturbation in $\mathcal{L}_0$). In this paper we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= u(x)e^{-i\omega t}, \\ \phi &= \phi(x), \\ \mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

that is a standing wave in equilibrium with a purely electrostatic field

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} &= -\nabla\phi(x), \\ \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Under this ansatz, the KGM system reduces to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - (q\phi - \omega)^2 u + m^2 u = 0, \\ \Delta\phi = 4\pi q (q\phi - \omega) u^2, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

(see [2] or [3] where the complete set of equations has been deducted).

We shall study (2) in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. The unknowns are the real functions $u$ and $\phi$ defined on $\Omega$ and the frequency $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$. Throughout the paper we assume the following boundary conditions

$$u(x) = 0, \tag{3a}$$

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\mathbf{n}}(x) = h(x). \tag{3b}$$

The problem (2) has a variational structure and we apply global variational methods.

First we notice that the system is symmetric with respect to $u$, that is, the pair $(u, \phi)$ is a solution if and only if $(-u, \phi)$ is a solution.

Moreover, due to the Neumann condition (3b), the existence of solutions is independent on the frequency $\omega$. Indeed the pair $(u, \phi)$ is a solution of (2)-(3) if and only if the pair $(u, \phi - \omega/q)$ is a solution of the following problem

$$-\Delta u - q^2\phi^2 u + m^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{4a}$$

$$\Delta\phi = 4\pi q^2 \phi u^2 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{4b}$$

with the same boundary conditions (3). In other words, for any $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$, the existence of a standing wave $\psi = u(x)e^{-i\omega t}$ in equilibrium with a purely electrostatic field is equivalent to the existence of a static matter field $u(x)$, in equilibrium with the same electric field. So we focus our attention on the problem (4).

The boundary datum $h$ plays a key role.

If $h = 0$, then it is easy to see that the system (4)-(3) have only the solutions $u = 0, \phi = const.$

If $\int_{\partial\Omega} h \; d\sigma = 0$, then (4)-(3) has infinitely many solutions corresponding to $u = 0$. Such solutions have the form $u = 0, \phi = \chi + const$ (see Lemma 2.1 below, where $\chi$ is introduced) and we call them *trivial.* In this case we are interested in finding nontrivial solutions (*i.e.* solutions with $u \neq 0$).

On the other hand, it is well known that the Neumann condition gives rise to a necessary condition for the existence of solutions of the boundary value problem. In our case, from (4b)-(3b), we get

$$4\pi q^2 \int_\Omega \phi u^2 \; dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} h \; d\sigma.$$

Hence, whenever $\int_{\partial\Omega} h \; d\sigma \neq 0$, solutions of (4)-(3), if any, are nontrivial.

The following theorem characterizes the existence of nontrivial solutions for small boundary data.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If* $\|h\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}$ *is sufficiently small (with respect to* $m/q$*), then the problem (4)-(3) has nontrivial solutions* $(u, \phi) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ *if and only if*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} h \; d\sigma \neq 0.$$

We point out that the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ contains only the potential $W(|\psi|) = m^2|\psi|^2/2$, which gives a positive energy (see the discussion about the energy in [4]). Hence the solutions found in Theorem 1.1 are relevant from the physical point of view.

Theorem 1.1 shows that, if $q$ is sufficiently small, (4)-(3) has only the trivial solutions if and only if $\int_{\partial\Omega} h \; d\sigma = 0$. The same result holds true if $q = 0$ (uncoupled system). It is immediately seen that, in the uncoupled case, if $\int_{\partial\Omega} h \; d\sigma \neq 0$, then there exist no solutions at all.

Our second result is concerned with a nonlinear lower order perturbation in (4a). So we study the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - q^2\phi^2 u + m^2 u = g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta\phi = 4\pi q^2 \phi u^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

again with the boundary conditions (3). The nonlinear term $g$ is usually interpreted as a self-interaction among many particles in the same field $\psi$.

We assume $g \in C\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}\right)$ and

**(g1)** $\exists\, a_1, a_2 \geq 0$, $\exists\, p \in (2, 6)$ such that

$$|g(x,t)| \leq a_1 + a_2 |t|^{p-1};$$

**(g2)** $g(x,t) = o(|t|)$ as $t \to 0$ uniformly in $x$;

**(g3)** $\exists\, s \in (2, p]$ and $r \geq 0$ such that for every $|t| \geq r$:

$$0 < sG(x,t) \leq tg(x,t),$$

where

$$G(x,t) = \int_0^t g(x,\tau)\, d\tau.$$

**Remark 1.2.** *A typical nonlinearity* $g$ *satisfying* $(\mathbf{g_1})$–$(\mathbf{g_3})$ *is* $g(x,t) = |t|^{p-2}\, t$, *with* $p \in (2,6)$.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let* $g$ *satisfy* $(\mathbf{g_1}) - (\mathbf{g_3})$.

*a) If* $h \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ *is sufficiently small (with respect to* $m/q$*) and satisfies*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} h\, d\sigma = 0, \tag{6}$$

*then the problem (5) has a nontrivial solution* $(u,\phi) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$.

*b) If* $g$ *is odd, then, for every* $h \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ *which satisfies (6), problem (5) has infinitely many solutions* $(u_i, \phi_i) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$, $i \in \mathbf{N}$, *such that*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_i|^2\, dx \to +\infty,$$

*whereas the set* $\{\phi_i\}$ *is uniformly bounded in* $H^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

The present paper has been motivated by some results about the system (5) in the case $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^3$. To the best of our knowledge, our results are the first ones in the case of a bounded domain. Under Dirichlet boundary conditions on both $u$ and $\phi$, the existence results for (4) and (5) are analogous and simpler (see [5]).

About the system (2) in $\mathbf{R}^3$, Theorem 1.1 in [6] shows that there exists only the trivial solution.

In the case of a lower order nonlinear perturbation (problem (5)), the pioneering result contained in [2] has been generalized in several papers: see [3], [7], [8]. Related results on analogous systems are contained in [9], [10].

A different class of solutions for the KGM system is introduced in the papers [3] and [7], where the authors show the existence of magnetostatic and electromagnetostatic solutions (3-dimensional vortices).

¿From the physical point of view, the case of a positive lower order term

$$W(|\psi|) = \frac{1}{2} m^2 |\psi|^2 - G(x, |\psi|)$$

is more relevant. This case is dealt with in some very recent papers ([4], [11], [12]).

Finally, we recall that global variational methods have been used also in the study of Schroedinger-Maxwell systems (see *e.g.* [8], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17]).

## 2 Functional setting

The first step to study problems (4) and (5) is to reduce to homogeneous boundary conditions. For the sake of simplicity, up to a simple rescaling, we can omit the constant $4\pi$.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For every* $h \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$*, let*

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_{\partial\Omega} h \, d\sigma.$$

*Then, there exists a unique* $\chi \in H^2(\Omega)$ *solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\chi = \kappa & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial\chi}{\partial\mathbf{n}}(x) = h(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \displaystyle\int_\Omega \chi \, dx = 0. & \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

**Remark 2.2.** *It is well known that the solution of (7) satisfies*

$$\|\chi\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq c\left(\|\kappa\|_2 + \|h\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\right)$$

*where c is a positive constant. So we obtain*

$$\|\chi\|_\infty \leq c_1 \|h\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

If we set

$$\varphi = \phi - \chi, \tag{8}$$

then (4) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - q^2(\varphi+\chi)^2 u + m^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta\varphi = q^2(\varphi+\chi)u^2 - \kappa & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\mathbf{n}}(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Let us consider on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ the norm $\|\nabla u\|_2$ and on $H^1(\Omega)$

$$\|\varphi\| = \left(\|\nabla\varphi\|_2^2 + |\bar{\varphi}|^2\right)^{1/2},$$

where $\bar{\varphi}$ denotes the average of a function $\varphi$ on $\Omega$, i.e.

$$\bar{\varphi} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega \varphi \, dx.$$

Standard computations show that the solutions of (9) are critical points of the $C^1$ functional

$$F(u,\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \left[m^2 - q^2(\varphi+\chi)^2\right]u^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\varphi\|_2^2 + \kappa|\Omega|\bar{\varphi},$$

defined in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$. Unfortunately it is strongly unbounded. We adapt a *reduction argument* introduced in [14]. Let

$$\Lambda = H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *For every $u \in \Lambda$ and $\rho \in L^{6/5}(\Omega)$ there exists a unique $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$ solution of*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi + q^2\varphi u^2 = \rho & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\mathbf{n}}(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let $u \in \Lambda$ and $\rho \in L^{6/5}(\Omega)$ be fixed. We shall apply the Lax-Milgram Lemma.

We consider the bilinear form

$$a(\varphi, \zeta) = \int_\Omega \nabla\varphi\nabla\zeta\, dx + q^2 \int_\Omega \varphi\zeta u^2\, dx$$

on $H^1(\Omega)$. By the Hölder and Sobolev inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a(\varphi,\zeta) &\le \|\nabla\varphi\|_2 \|\nabla\zeta\|_2 + q^2 \|\varphi\|_3 \|\zeta\|_3 \|u\|_6^2 \\ &\le \left(1 + c_1 \|u\|_6^2\right) \|\varphi\| \|\zeta\| \end{aligned}$$

and so $a$ is continuous. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\|\varphi\| \to +\infty} a(\varphi,\varphi) = +\infty.$$

Indeed, if $\|\varphi\| \to +\infty$, we distinguish two cases.

1. If $\|\nabla\varphi\|_2 \to +\infty$, then
$$a(\varphi,\varphi) \ge \|\nabla\varphi\|_2^2 \to +\infty.$$
2. If $\|\nabla\varphi\|_2$ is bounded, then $|\bar{\varphi}| \to +\infty$. By the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality
$$\|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_6 \le c_2 \|\nabla\varphi\|_2,$$
also $\|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_2$ is bounded. Then we consider $\varphi = (\varphi - \bar{\varphi}) + \bar{\varphi}$ and obtain
$$a(\varphi,\varphi) \ge q^2 |\bar{\varphi}|^2 \|u\|_2^2 - 2q^2 |\bar{\varphi}| \|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}\|_2 \|u\|_4^2 \to +\infty.$$

By standard arguments, we deduce that the bilinear form $a$ is coercive in $H^1(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, by the Sobolev imbedding, we can consider the linear and continuous map

$$\zeta \in H^1(\Omega) \longmapsto \int_\Omega \rho\zeta\, dx \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The Lax-Milgram Lemma gives the assertion. □

So our reduction argument is based on the following result.

**Proposition 2.4.** *For every $u \in \Lambda$ there exists a unique $\varphi_u \in H^1(\Omega)$ solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\varphi = q^2(\varphi + \chi)u^2 - \kappa & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\mathbf{n}}(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

*Hence the set*

$$\{(u,\varphi) \in \Lambda \times H^1(\Omega) \mid F'_\varphi(u,\varphi) = 0\} \tag{11}$$

*coincides with the graph of the map $u \in \Lambda \mapsto \varphi_u \in H^1(\Omega)$.*

**Proposition 2.5.** *The map* $u \in \Lambda \mapsto \varphi_u \in H^1(\Omega)$ *is* $C^1$.

*Proof.* Since the graph of the map $u \mapsto \varphi_u$ is given by (11), we refer to the Implicit Function Theorem.

Straightforward calculations show that for every $\xi, \eta \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} F''_{\varphi\varphi}(u,\varphi)[\xi,\eta] &= -\int_\Omega \nabla\xi\nabla\eta\,dx - q^2\int_\Omega u^2\xi\eta\,dx, \\ F''_{\varphi u}(u,\varphi)[w,\eta] &= -2q^2\int_\Omega (\varphi+\chi)uw\eta\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see that $F''_{\varphi\varphi}$ and $F''_{\varphi u}$ are continuous.

On the other hand we have already seen that, for every $(u,\varphi) \in \Lambda \times H^1(\Omega)$, the operator associated to $F''_{\varphi\varphi}(u,\varphi)$ is invertible (Lemma 2.3). Hence the claim immediately follows. $\square$

We can define on $\Lambda$ the *reduced* functional

$$J(u) = F(u,\varphi_u).$$

It is $C^1$ and it is easy to see that $(u,\varphi) \in \Lambda \times H^1(\Omega)$ is a critical point of $F$ if and only if $u$ is a critical point of $J$ and $\varphi = \varphi_u$. So, to get nontrivial solutions of (4), we look for critical points of the functional $J$.

With the same change of variable (8), problem (5) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - q^2(\varphi+\chi)^2 u + m^2 u - g(x,u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta\varphi = q^2(\varphi+\chi)u^2 - \kappa & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\mathbf{n}}(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

The solutions of (12) are the critical points of the $C^1$-functional

$$F_g(u,\varphi) = F(u,\varphi) - \int_\Omega G(x,u)\,dx$$

and, as above, we can consider the *reduced* $C^1$-functional

$$J_g(u) = F_g(u,\varphi_u). \tag{13}$$

To get nontrivial solution of (12) we look for critical points of $J_g$.

## 3 Behavior of $\varphi_u$

By Lemma 2.3, for every $u \in \Lambda$, problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\xi - q^2\xi u^2 = q^2\chi u^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial\xi}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

has a unique solution $\xi_u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Analogously, for every $u \in \Lambda$, problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\eta - q^2\eta u^2 = -\kappa & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial\eta}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

has a unique solution $\eta_u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Of course, since the solution of (10) is unique, we have

$$\varphi_u = \xi_u + \eta_u. \tag{16}$$

**Lemma 3.1** (Properties of $\xi_u$)**.** *For every $u \in \Lambda$,*

$$\int_\Omega \xi_u \chi u^2 \, dx \le 0 \tag{17}$$

*and*

$$-\max\chi \le \xi_u \le -\min\chi \tag{18}$$

*a.e. in $\Omega$.*

*Proof.* Multiplying (14) by $\xi_u$ and integrating on $\Omega$, we get immediately (17).

Moreover, if $\xi_u$ is the solution of (14), then $\xi_u + \min\chi$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\xi = q^2\left[\xi + (\chi - \min\chi)\right]u^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial\xi}{\partial\mathbf{n}}(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

and minimizes the functional

$$f(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla\xi|^2 \, dx + \frac{q^2}{2}\int_\Omega \xi^2 u^2 \, dx + q^2 \int_\Omega (\chi - \min\chi) u^2 \xi \, dx$$

on $H^1(\Omega)$. On the other hand

$$f(-|\xi_u + \min\chi|) \le f(\xi_u + \min\chi)$$

and so

$$\xi_u + \min\chi = -|\xi_u + \min\chi|,$$

a.e. in $\Omega$. Hence $\xi_u \le -\min\chi$, a.e. in $\Omega$.

Analogously, $\xi_u + \max\chi$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\xi = q^2\left[\xi + (\chi - \max\chi)\right]u^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \dfrac{\partial\xi}{\partial\mathbf{n}}(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

and, arguing as before, we get $\xi_u \ge -\max\chi$ a.e. in $\Omega$. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *For every $u \in \Lambda$,*

$$\|\xi_u\|_\infty \le \|\chi\|_\infty, \tag{19}$$

$$\|\nabla\xi_u\|_2 \le \|\nabla\chi\|_2. \tag{20}$$

*Proof.* The inequality (19) easily follows from (18). By (14), $\xi_u$ satisfies

$$\int_\Omega \nabla\xi_u \nabla w\, dx + q^2 \int_\Omega (\xi_u + \chi)\, u^2 w\, dx = 0$$

for any $w \in H^1(\Omega)$. For $w = \xi_u + \chi$ we get

$$\|\nabla\xi_u\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega \nabla\xi_u \nabla\chi\, dx + q^2 \int_\Omega (\xi_u + \chi)^2 u^2\, dx = 0$$

from which one deduces (20). □

**Remark 3.3.** *We point out that, if $\kappa = 0$, then $\varphi_u = \xi_u$. Therefore (19) and (20) become uniform estimates on $\varphi_u \in H^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and give rise to estimates on the* old *variable*

$$\phi = \varphi_u + \chi = \xi_u + \chi.$$

*In other words, if $\int_{\partial\Omega} h\, d\sigma = 0$, the solutions $\phi$ of (4b)-(3b) are uniformly bounded with respect to $u \neq 0$. ¿From (18) we deduce also a more precise estimate*

$$\|\phi\|_\infty = \|\xi_u + \chi\|_\infty \leq \max\chi - \min\chi.$$

**Lemma 3.4** (Properties of $\eta_u$)**.** *For every $u \in \Lambda$,*

$$\|\eta_u\|_2 \geq \frac{|\kappa|\,|\Omega|}{q^2\,\|u\|_4^2}, \tag{21}$$

$$\kappa\eta_u \geq 0 \tag{22}$$

*a.e. in $\Omega$ and*

$$\|\nabla\eta_u\|_2 \leq c_1\,|\bar{\eta}_u|\,\|u\|_4^2\,. \tag{23}$$

*Proof.* Let $u \in \Lambda$ be fixed. If $\kappa = 0$, the lemma is trivial. So we suppose $\kappa \neq 0$.

By integrating the equation in (15) on $\Omega$ we get

$$q^2 \int_\Omega \eta_u u^2\, dx = \kappa\,|\Omega|\,,$$

from which we deduce (21).

Moreover, since the unique solution $\eta_u$ of (15) is the minimizer of

$$f^*(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla\eta|^2\, dx + \frac{q^2}{2}\int_\Omega \eta^2 u^2\, dx - \kappa\,|\Omega|\,\bar{\eta},$$

with analogous arguments to those used in the proof of (18), we have that:

- if $\kappa < 0$, then $\eta_u \leq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$;
- if $\kappa > 0$, then $\eta_u \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$.

Finally, multiplying the equation in (15) by $\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u$ and integrating, we get

$$-\|\nabla\eta_u\|_2^2 - q^2 \int_\Omega \eta_u\,(\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u)\, u^2\, dx = 0$$

from which

$$\|\nabla\eta_u\|_2^2 + q^2 \int_\Omega (\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u)^2 u^2\, dx = -\bar{\eta}_u \int_\Omega (\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u)\, u^2\, dx.$$

Then, by the Hölder and Poincaré-Wirtinger inequalities, we obtain

$$\|\nabla\eta_u\|_2^2 \le |\bar{\eta}_u|\, \|\eta_u - \bar{\eta}_u\|_2\, \|u\|_4^2 \le c_1\, |\bar{\eta}_u|\, \|\nabla\eta_u\|_2\, \|u\|_4^2$$

which implies (23). □

Finally we have the following relation between $\xi_u$ and $\eta_u$.

**Lemma 3.5.** *For every $u \in \Lambda$,*

$$q^2 \int_\Omega \chi\eta_u u^2\, dx = -\kappa\, |\Omega|\, \bar{\xi}_u. \tag{24}$$

*Proof.* Fixed $u \in \Lambda$, multiplying the equation of (14) by $\eta_u$ and integrating on $\Omega$, we get

$$-\int_\Omega \nabla\xi_u \nabla\eta_u\, dx - q^2 \int_\Omega \xi_u\eta_u u^2\, dx = q^2 \int_\Omega \chi\eta_u u^2\, dx.$$

Multiplying the equation of (15) by $\xi_u$ and integrating on $\Omega$, we obtain

$$-\int_\Omega \nabla\xi_u \nabla\eta_u\, dx - q^2 \int_\Omega \xi_u\eta_u u^2\, dx = -\kappa\, |\Omega|\, \bar{\xi}_u.$$

The claim immediately follows. □

# 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Taking into account Remark 2.2, in this section we assume that $\|h\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}$ is sufficiently small in order to get

$$\|\chi\|_\infty \le m/q,$$

hence

$$m^2 - q^2\chi^2 \ge 0. \tag{25}$$

## 4.1 Existence of nontrivial solutions

In this subsection we assume that $\int_{\partial\Omega} h\, d\sigma \neq 0$.

We give the explicit expression of the functional $J(u) = F(u, \varphi_u)$. If $u \in \Lambda$, multiplying (10) by $\varphi_u$ and integrating on $\Omega$, we have

$$-\|\nabla\varphi_u\|_2^2 = q^2 \int_\Omega \varphi_u (\varphi_u + \chi)\, u^2\, dx - \kappa\, |\Omega|\, \bar{\varphi}_u.$$

Then, taking into account (16) and (24), we obtain

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \left(m^2 - q^2\chi^2\right) u^2\, dx - \frac{q^2}{2}\int_\Omega \xi_u\chi u^2\, dx + \kappa\, |\Omega|\, \bar{\xi}_u + \frac{\kappa\, |\Omega|}{2}\bar{\eta}_u. \tag{26}$$

Moreover, for every $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\langle J'(u), v\rangle = \langle F'(u, \varphi_u), v\rangle = \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_\Omega \left[ m^2 - q^2 (\varphi_u + \chi)^2 \right] uv \, dx. \tag{27}$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *The functional $J$ has the following properties:*

(a) $\lim_{u \to 0} J(u) = +\infty$,

(b) *$J$ is coercive,*

(c) *$J$ is bounded from below.*

*Proof.* Assume $u \to 0$. Since the first four terms in (26) are bounded from below, we study the last term. By (22),

$$\frac{\kappa |\Omega|}{2} \bar{\eta}_u \geq 0. \tag{28}$$

We claim that $|\bar{\eta}_u| \to +\infty$.

Arguing by contradiction, assume that there exists a sequence $u_n \to 0$ such that $\{\bar{\eta}_n\}$ is bounded (where we mean $\eta_n = \eta_{u_n}$). Hence, by (23), we have $\|\nabla \eta_n\|_2 \to 0$. Then, using the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality, we deduce that $\{\eta_n\}$ is bounded. On the other hand (21) yields

$$\lim_n \|\eta_n\|_2 = +\infty,$$

so we get a contradiction and (a) is proved.

By (17), (25) and (28), we obtain

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \kappa |\Omega| \bar{\xi}_u.$$

Then, by (19), we deduce (b) and (c). □

**Proposition 4.2.** *The functional $J$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $\Lambda$, i.e. every sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda$ such that $\{J(u_n)\}$ is bounded and $J'(u_n) \to 0$, admits a converging subsequence in $\Lambda$.*

*Proof.* Let $\{u_n\} \subset \Lambda$ be a Palais-Smale sequence, i.e.

$$\{J(u_n)\} \text{ bounded} \tag{29}$$

and

$$J'(u_n) \to 0.$$

¿From (29) and (b) of Proposition 4.1 we deduce that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, hence it converges weakly to $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. It remains to prove that the convergence is strong and that $u \neq 0$. As before, for the sake of simplicity, we set $\varphi_n = \varphi_{u_n}$, $\xi_n = \xi_{u_n}$ and $\eta_n = \eta_{u_n}$.

By (27) and (16), we have

$$\Delta u_n = m^2 u_n - q^2 (\xi_n + \eta_n + \chi)^2 u_n - J'(u_n). \tag{30}$$

So it is sufficient to prove that the right hand side of (30) is bounded in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $J'(u_n) \to 0$, we have only to study $\{(\xi_n + \eta_n + \chi)^2 u_n\}$. ¿From (29) we deduce that $\{\kappa |\Omega| \bar{\eta}_n/2\}$ is bounded, the same being true for the first four terms in $J(u_n)$. Then, using (23), we conclude that $\{\eta_n\}$ is bounded, as well as $\{\xi_n\}$ by (19). The claim easily follows.

Finally (a) of Proposition 4.1 and (29) show that $u$ cannot be zero. The proof is thereby complete. □

Using again (a) of Proposition (4.1), we can see that the sublevels of $J$ are complete. Then, by a standard tool in critical point theory (Deformation Lemma, see *e.g.* [18]), we conclude that the minimum of $J$ is achieved.

### 4.2 The *only if* part

In this subsection we show that if $\int_{\partial\Omega} h \, d\sigma = 0$, then problem (9) has only trivial solutions.

Let $(u, \varphi)$ be a solution of (9) with $\kappa = 0$. By the first equation we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - q^2 \int_\Omega (\varphi + \chi)^2 u^2 \, dx + m^2 \|u\|_2^2 = 0. \tag{31}$$

By the second equation we have

$$-\|\nabla \varphi\|_2^2 - q^2 \int_\Omega u^2 \varphi^2 dx = q^2 \int_\Omega \chi \varphi u^2 dx. \tag{32}$$

Then, substituting $\int_\Omega \chi \varphi u^2 dx$ in (31), we obtain

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + q^2 \int_\Omega u^2 \varphi^2 \, dx + \int_\Omega \left(m^2 - q^2 \chi^2\right) u^2 dx + 2 \|\nabla \varphi\|_2^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, taking into account (25), we deduce $u = 0$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we assume $\kappa = 0$, so we have

$$\varphi_u = \xi_u. \tag{33}$$

Since $\varphi_u$ satisfies (32), substituting in (13), we find, for every $u \neq 0$,

$$J_g(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \int_\Omega u^2 \, dx - \frac{q^2}{2} \int_\Omega \chi (\varphi_u + \chi) u^2 \, dx - \int_\Omega G(x, u) \, dx$$

and

$$\left\langle J_g'(u), v \right\rangle = \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_\Omega \left[m^2 - q^2 (\varphi_u + \chi)^2\right] uv \, dx - \int_\Omega g(x, u) v \, dx \tag{34}$$

for $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

About the nonlinear term, we recall that $(\mathbf{g_1}) - (\mathbf{g_3})$ imply that:

$(\mathbf{G}_1)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $A \geq 0$ such that for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$

$$|G(x,t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} t^2 + A|t|^p;$$

$(\mathbf{G}_2)$ there exist two constants $b_1, b_2 > 0$ such that for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$

$$G(x,t) \geq b_1 |t|^s - b_2.$$

This time the functional has not a singularity in 0, but it can be extended according to the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *If we set $J_g(0) = 0$, then the functional $J_g$ is $C^1$ on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $J_g'(0) = 0$.*

*Proof.* ¿From (19) and (33) we deduce

$$\left| \int_\Omega \chi(\varphi_u + \chi) u^2 \, dx \right| \leq 2 \|\chi\|_\infty^2 \|u\|_2^2. \tag{35}$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{u \to 0} J_g(u) = 0,$$

hence $J_g$ is continuous on $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Using again (35) and $(\mathbf{G}_1)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{u \to 0} \frac{J_g(u)}{\|\nabla u\|_2} = 0,$$

which, joint with $J_g(0) = 0$, implies that $J_g$ is differentiable in 0 and $J_g'(0) = 0$.

Finally, we have that $J_g'$ is continuous in 0. Indeed, from (34) we get

$$\left|\left\langle J_g'(u), v \right\rangle\right| \leq \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2 + \left(4q^2 \|\chi\|_\infty^2 + m^2\right) \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 + \int_\Omega |g(x,u)v| \, dx.$$

Then, using the hypotheses on $g$,

$$\lim_{u \to 0} \left\|J_g'(u)\right\| = \lim_{u \to 0} \sup_{\|\nabla v\|_2 = 1} \left|\left\langle J_g'(u), v \right\rangle\right| = 0.$$

□

**Proposition 5.2.** *The functional $J_g$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on $H_0^1(\Omega)$.*

*Proof.* Let $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$|J_g(u_n)| \leq c \tag{36}$$

$$J_g'(u_n) \to 0. \tag{37}$$

As before, we set $\varphi_n = \varphi_{u_n}$ and we use $c_i$ to denote suitable positive constants. By (36)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 &\leq c + \int_\Omega G(x,u_n) \, dx + \frac{q^2}{2} \int_\Omega \chi(\varphi_n + \chi) u_n^2 \, dx + \frac{m^2}{2} \|u_n\|_2^2 \\ &\leq c_1 + \frac{1}{s} \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |u_n(x)| \geq r\}} g(x,u_n) u_n \, dx + c_2 \|u_n\|_2^2 \\ &\leq c_3 + \frac{1}{s} \int_\Omega g(x,u_n) u_n \, dx + c_2 \|u_n\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

On the other hand, by (34) and (37),

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left\langle J_g'\left(u_n\right),u_n\right\rangle\right| &= \left|\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2^2+m^2\left\|u_n\right\|_2^2-q^2\int_\Omega\left(\varphi_n+\chi\right)^2u_n^2\,dx-\int_\Omega g\left(x,u_n\right)u_n\,dx\right| \\ &\le c_4\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega g\left(x,u_n\right)u_n\,dx &\le c_4\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2+\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2^2+m^2\left\|u_n\right\|_2^2-q^2\int_\Omega\left(\varphi_n+\chi\right)^2u_n^2\,dx \\ &\le c_4\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2+\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2^2+m^2\left\|u_n\right\|_2^2. \end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

Hence, substituting (39) in (38) we easily find

$$\frac{s-2}{2s}\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2^2\le c_3+\frac{c_4}{s}\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2+\left\|\chi\right\|_\infty^2\left\|u_n\right\|_2^2+m^2\frac{s+2}{2s}\|u_n\|_2^2.\tag{40}$$

Now we claim that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1\left(\Omega\right)$. Otherwise by (40)

$$\left\|u_n\right\|_2^2\ge c_5\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2^2-c_6\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2-c_7$$

and, for $n$ sufficiently large, we have

$$\left\|u_n\right\|_2^2\ge c_8\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2^2\to+\infty.$$

So, using ($\mathbf{G}_2$) and (35), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}J_g\left(u_n\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2^2+\frac{m^2}{2}\left\|u_n\right\|_2^2-\frac{q^2}{2}\int_\Omega\chi\left(\varphi_n+\chi\right)u_n^2\,dx-\int_\Omega G\left(x,u_n\right)\,dx \\ &\le\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_n\right\|_2^2+c_9\left\|u_n\right\|_2^2-b_1\left\|u_n\right\|_s^s+b_2\left|\Omega\right| \\ &\le c_{10}\left\|u_n\right\|_2^2-c_{11}\left\|u_n\right\|_2^s+b_2\left|\Omega\right|\to-\infty,\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (36). So $\{u_n\}$ is bounded and, up to a subsequence,

$$u_n\rightharpoonup u\text{ in }H_0^1\left(\Omega\right).$$

We have to prove that the convergence is strong. We know that

$$\Delta u_n=m^2u_n-q^2\left(\varphi_n+\chi\right)^2u_n-g\left(x,u_n\right)-J_g'\left(u_n\right).\tag{41}$$

The sequences $\{J'\left(u_n\right)\}$, $\{u_n\}$ and $\{g\left(x,u_n\right)\}$ are bounded. Finally, by Corollary 3.2, $\{\varphi_n+\chi\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty\left(\Omega\right)$, then $\{(\varphi_n+\chi)^2u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$. Therefore the right hand side of (41) is a bounded sequence in $H^{-1}\left(\Omega\right)$. By standard arguments the proof is complete. □

Finally we notice that, by ($\mathbf{G}_2$),

$$\begin{aligned}J_g\left(u\right) &\le\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u\right\|_2^2+\left(q^2\left\|\chi\right\|_\infty^2+\frac{m^2}{2}\right)\left\|u\right\|_2^2-\int_\Omega G(x,u)\,dx \\ &\le\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u\right\|_2^2+\left(q^2\left\|\chi\right\|_\infty^2+\frac{m^2}{2}\right)\left\|u\right\|_2^2-b_1\left\|u\right\|_s^s+b_2\left|\Omega\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $V$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $H_0^1\left(\Omega\right)$, then

$$\lim_{\substack{u\in V\\ \left\|\nabla u\right\|_2\to+\infty}}J_g\left(u\right)=-\infty.\tag{42}$$

## 5.1 Proof of (a)

Let $\{\lambda_j\}$ denote the sequence of the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Taking into account Remark 2.2, assume that

$$q^2 \|\chi\|_\infty^2 < \lambda_1 + m^2.$$

¿From (33), (17) and ($\mathbf{G}_1$) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} J_g(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \left(m^2 - q^2\|\chi\|_\infty^2\right)\|u\|_2^2\right] - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|u\|_2^2 - A\|u\|_p^p \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_1 + m^2 - q^2\|\chi\|_\infty^2 - \varepsilon}{2\lambda_1}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - A'\|\nabla u\|_2^p, \end{aligned}$$

with $A, A' > 0$ depending on $\varepsilon > 0$. Choosing $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, we deduce

$$J_g(u) \geq c\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - A'\|\nabla u\|_2^p$$

with $c > 0$. Hence $J_g$ has a strict local minimum in 0.

Taking into account (42), the classical Mountain Pass Theorem of Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz applies (see *e.g.* [18]) and we deduce the existence of a nontrivial solution.

## 5.2 Proof of (b)

Since $g$ is odd, the functional $J_g$ is even and we use the $\mathbf{Z}_2$-Mountain Pass Theorem as stated in [18].

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let $E$ be an infinite dimensional Banach space and let $I \in C^1(E, \mathbf{R})$ be even, satisfy the Palais-Smale condition and $I(0) = 0$. If $E = V \oplus X$, where $V$ is finite dimensional and $J$ satisfies*

1. *there are constants $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $I|_{\partial B_\rho \cap X} \geq \alpha$, and*
2. *for each finite dimensional subspace $\tilde{E} \subset E$, there is an $R = R(\tilde{E})$ such that $I \leq 0$ on $E \setminus B_{R(\tilde{E})}$,*

*then $I$ possesses an unbounded sequence of critical values.*

Taking into account (42), in order to apply Theorem 5.3, we have to prove the geometrical property stated in *(1)*.

We distinguish two cases:

(a) If $q^2\|\chi\|_\infty^2 - m^2 < \lambda_1$ then, using the same estimates given in the previous subsection, Theorem 5.3 applies with $V = \{0\}$.

(b) If $\lambda_1 \leq q^2\|\chi\|_\infty^2 - m^2$, we set

$$k = \min\left\{j \in \mathbf{N} : \ q^2\|\chi\|_\infty^2 - m^2 < \lambda_j\right\},$$

and we consider

$$V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k-1} M_j, \qquad X = V^\perp = \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{+\infty} M_j}.$$

where $M_j$ is the finite dimensional eigenspace corresponding to $\lambda_j$.

Since

$$\lambda_k = \min \left\{ \frac{\|\nabla v\|_2^2}{\|v\|_2^2} : \ v \in X, \ v \neq 0 \right\},$$

for every $u \in X$ we have

$$J_g(u) \geq \frac{\lambda_k + m^2 - q^2 \|\chi\|_\infty^2}{2\lambda_k} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \int_\Omega G(x,u)dx.$$

Similar estimates to those used in the previous case show that $J$ is strictly positive on a sphere in $X$.

In both cases we get the existence of infinitely many critical points $\{u_i\}$ such that

$$J_g(u_i) \to +\infty.$$

Remark 3.3 gives the uniform estimate on $\{\varphi_{u_i}\}$. Finally we notice that, by $(\mathbf{G}_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_g(u_i) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_i\|_2^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \|u_i\|_2^2 - \frac{q^2}{2} \int_\Omega \chi (\varphi_i + \chi) u_i^2 \, dx - \int_\Omega G(x, u_i) \, dx \\ &\leq c_1 \|\nabla u_i\|_2^2 + c_2 \|\nabla u_i\|_2^p . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\nabla u_i\|_2 \to +\infty$ and this completes the proof.

# References

[1] B. Felsager, *Geometry, Particles and Fields* (Springer, New York, 1998).

[2] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, Solitary waves of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation coupled with the Maxwell equations, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14** (2002) 409–420.

[3] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, Existence of 3D-Vortices in Abelian Gauge Theories, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14** (2006) 407–416.

[4] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, Solitary waves in Abelian Gauge Theories, preprint.

[5] P. d'Avenia, L. Pisani, G. Siciliano, in preparation.

[6] T. D'Aprile, D. Mugnai, Non-existence results for the coupled Klein-Gordon -Maxwell equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **4** (2004) 307–322.

[7] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, Three dimensional vortices in Abelian Gauge Theories, preprint.

[8] T. D'Aprile, D. Mugnai, Solitary waves for nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell and Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **134** (2004) 1–14.

[9] D. Cassani, Existence and non-existence of solitary waves for the critical Klein-Gordon equation coupled with Maxwell's equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **58** (2004) 733–747.

[10] P. d'Avenia, L. Pisani, Nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations coupled with Born-Infeld type equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2002** (2002) 1–13.

[11] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, Q-vortices in Abelian Gauge Theories, preprint.

[12] E. Long, Existence and Stability of Solitary waves in nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **18** (2006) 747–779.

[13] A. Ambrosetti, D. Ruiz, Multiple bound states for the Schroedinger-Poisson problem, *Comm. Contemp. Math.*, to appear.

[14] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **11** (1998) 283–293.

[15] G. M. Coclite, V. Georgiev, Solitary Waves for Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2004** (2004) 1–31.

[16] P. d'Avenia, Non-radially symmetric solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation coupled with Maxwell equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **2** (2002) 177–192.

[17] L. Pisani, G. Siciliano, Neumann condition in the Schrödinger-Maxwell system, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **27** (2007) 251–264.

[18] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. **65** (1986).